
*** TX REPORT ***

TRANSMISSION OK

TX/RX NO	3981
CONNECTION TEL	916178327000
SUBADDRESS	
CONNECTION ID	
ST. TIME	01/30 13:57
USAGE T	00 '56
PGS.	1
RESULT	OK

#12

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

Fax Cover Sheet

Date: 30 Jan 2002

To: Kevin A. Oliver, Esq.	From: Donald L. Champagne
Application/Control Number: 09/441,191	Art Unit: 2162
Fax No.: 617-832-7000	Phone No.: 703-308-3331
Voice No.: 617-832-1241	Return Fax No.: 703-746-7239
Re: 09/441,191 (Atty. Docket No. 3980)	CC:

Urgent For Review For Comment For Reply Per Your Request

Comments:

I am sorry that I was not available to receive your telephone call this morning, and that you find the Office's after-final practice to be wanting.

After-final practice is governed by a small part of MPEP 706.07(f) (at p. 700-63 of the August 2001 printing) and MPEP 713.09. After-final interviews are granted, at the sole discretion of the examiner, only when the examiner is "convinced" that such an interview will bring the case to disposal or clarification for appeal with only "nominal" further consideration. I find no basis for such a conviction, and therefore will not grant an after-final interview in this case.

I realize this may seem harsh to applicant, and frustrating to you, but the issue is basic economics. Neither the



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

Fax Cover Sheet

Date: 30 Jan 2002

To: Kevin A. Oliver, Esq.	From: Donald L. Champagne
Application/Control Number: 09/441,191	Art Unit: 2162
Fax No.: 617-832-7000	Phone No.: 703-308-3331
Voice No.: 617-832-1241	Return Fax No.: 703-746-7239
Re: 09/441,191 (Atty. Docket No. 3980)	CC:

Urgent For Review For Comment For Reply Per Your Request

Comments:

I am sorry that I was not available to receive your telephone call this morning, and that you find the Office's after-final practice to be wanting.

After-final practice is governed by a small part of MPEP 706.07(f) (at p. 700-63 of the August 2001 printing) and MPEP 713.09. After-final interviews are granted, at the sole discretion of the examiner, only when the examiner is "convinced" that such an interview will bring the case to disposal or clarification for appeal with only "nominal" further consideration. I find no basis for such a conviction, and therefore will not grant an after-final interview in this case.

I realize this may seem harsh to applicant, and frustrating to you, but the issue is basic economics. Neither the Office's fee structure, nor, I can assure you, the examiner compensation structure, are designed to support perpetual examination of a given case. Applicant's general choices after-final are appeal, CIP or CPA/RCE.

In answer to your question, I am the primary examiner in this case, but you are still free to talk to my boss, Supervisory Primary Examiner Eric Stamber, at 703-305-8469.

Number of pages 1 including this page

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY

This facsimile transmission is an Official U.S. Government document which may contain information which is privileged and confidential. It is intended only for use of the recipient named above. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying of this document is strictly prohibited. If this document is received in error, you are requested to immediately notify the sender at the above indicated telephone number and return the entire document in an envelope addressed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231